

Claim	Truth
<p>Mark Pryor voted for every one of Obama’s liberal activist judges. Every single one</p>	<p>Pryor Did Not Vote For Every President Obama Nomination</p> <p>Mark Pryor Did Not Vote On Nomination Of Greenaway To 3rd Circuit Of Appeals. On February 9, 2010, Pryor did not vote on the confirmation of Joseph A. Greenaway Jr. of New Jersey to be a judge for the 3rd U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals. [CQ Floor Votes; Senate Vote #21, 2/9/2010]</p> <p>Mark Pryor Did Not Vote On Confirmation Of Davila To The US District Court For The Northern District Of California. On February 14, 2011, Pryor did not vote on the confirmation of Edward J. Davila of California to be a judge for the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. [CQ Floor Votes; Senate Vote #15, 2/14/2011]</p> <p>Mark Pryor Did Not Vote On Confirmation Of Sheri Polster Chappell To The US District Court For The Middle District Of Florida. On May 20, 2013, Pryor did not vote on the confirmation of Sheri Polster Chappell to the US District Court for the Middle District of Florida. [CQ Floor Votes; Senate Vote #128, 5/20/13]</p> <p>Pryor Voted at least 123 times to confirm Bush judicial nominations</p> <p>Boozman voted at least 73 times for President Obama’s judicial nominations</p> <p>Pryor Took Lead Role In Confirming Bush Judicial Nominations</p> <p>Pryor Took Lead Role In Forming Gang Of 14 To Confirm Bush Judicial Nominees. According to The Washington Post, “Sen. Mark Pryor’s eureka moment in the filibuster showdown came on Wednesday, March 9, during a Lamar Alexander speech on the Senate floor about judicial nominations. The Tennessee Republican described what struck Pryor, a freshman Democrat from Arkansas, as a reasonable approach to dealing with federal court nominees: He would vote against individuals he found ‘extreme’ but would not filibuster anyone. Alexander noted that ‘if a few other senators of both parties would individually make this same pledge, then there would be an end to this discussion of the so-called nuclear option.’ That got Pryor thinking. If six to eight like-minded senators from both parties were to band together, they could effectively force a compromise that would end most Democratic filibusters without Republicans resorting to the ‘nuclear option’ of changing a hallowed Senate rule. Pryor’s next move? ‘I called my dad,’ former senator David H. Pryor. ‘Ooh, that’s a hot potato,’ the veteran Democrat warned his son. Pryor pressed ahead, and on Monday evening he stood beaming before a packed news conference to announce that, after a week of chronic doubts and barely controlled chaos, a bipartisan group much like the one he imagined had forged an agreement that would break an impasse over some of President Bush’s judicial nominees while preserving the minority’s right to filibuster at least for now.” [The Washington Post, 5/25/05]</p>
<p>Now Pryor is helping Obama pack a key court with new</p>	<p>Claims Of “Court Packing” Have Been Soundly Debunked”</p>

<p>liberal judges who will review the EPA, the IRS, and agencies Obama is using to push his unconstitutional, job killing agenda</p>	<p>Claim That Appointing Judges To DC Circuit Court Amounted To “Packing” The Court Was Rated “False.” According to Pulitzer Prize winning PolitiFact, “The claim that Obama is ‘packing’ the D.C. Circuit Court largely runs counter to American legal and political history. Genuine court packing has involved one branch of government proposing to change the structure of the courts, either expanding or decreasing the number of judges. That's not what Obama's doing. We rate the claim False.” [PolitiFact, 6/3/13]</p> <p>Group Headed By Chief Justice Recommended DC Circuit Court Be Kept At 11 Members. In a letter by the Judicial Conference of the United States, headed by Chief Justice John Roberts, to Judiciary Chair Senator Leahy, the group recommended keeping the DC Circuit Court at 11 members. [Letter from Judicial Conference of the United States To Chairman Leahy, 4/5/13]</p>
<p>When Mark Pryor rubber stamps Obama’s liberal judges, it hurt’s Arkansas. Enough is enough. Tell Mark Pryor to go to work for Arkansas, not Obama</p>	<p>Judicial Crisis Network Previously Supported Majority Vote On Nominees, Called For Partisan Appointments To The Bench</p> <p>Judicial Crisis Network Was Registered At The Same Location As Judicial Confirmation Network. Both Judicial Crisis Network and Judicial Confirmation Network was registered with the FEC At PO Box 3141, in Manassa, Virginia. [Judicial Crisis Network, FEC.gov, 1/14/11; Judicial Confirmation Network, FEC.gov, 10/2/08]</p> <p>Both Judicial Confirmation Network And Judicial Crisis Network Used The Same Website, JudicialNetwork.com. [judicialnetwork.com, accessed by archive.org, 3/1/05]</p> <p>Judicial Confirmation Network Called For Majority Vote On Judicial Nominations. According to the People for the American Way, “In 2005, the Judicial Confirmation Network was reminding senators of their ‘obligation to bring these nominations to the floor for a fair vote.’ Today, the Judicial Crisis Network is urging senators to deny floor votes to nominees in the same position.” [People for American Way, 10/29/13]</p> <p>Founder of Judicial Confirmation Network Wendy Long Previously Said Republicans Who Controlled Senate Should Nominate Conservative Justices. In June 2005, Wendy Long said, "Seeking a 'consensus' candidate is not the right thing to do. It is not what the Constitution contemplates, in our system built on the consent of the governed... By definition, those will never be 'consensus' nominees. Justices Ginsburg and Breyer were not 'consensus' nominees, nor should any Republican nominees be—particularly when Republicans control the Senate, for heaven's sake." [Rightwingwatch.org, April 2006]</p>